

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/643,074	ALCORN ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
PHILLIP H. NGUYEN	2191	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

- (1) PHILLIP H. NGUYEN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Ahsan A. Shaikh (61,861). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

*all independent claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*US 6,470,171 and US 7,107,548*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During the telephone interview with the undersigned attorney, the examiner discussed the allowable subject recited in the independent claim 1. The examiner indicated that independent claims 7, 9, and 13 would be allowed over the prior art if incorporated limitations of independent claim 1 to further clarify the claimed invention. Authorization for the examiner's amendment was given on 12/10/2010.